

From: matthew calder [REDACTED]8020@gmail.com>
Sent: 04 July 2023 19:07
To: Medworth <Medworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject:

Dear sir or Madam,

I'm writing to you regarding the proposed incinerator for our local area. I would like to bring to your attention the following points:

People running for site:

The developer shows poor knowledge about our local area. they propose that they will employ local people, however not being close to any similar infrastructure, how do we have local people that could work there. The colleges or 6th forms possibly don't even offer courses for this sort of engineering course.

Transport links:

The area where the proposed incinerator is meant to go is said to have good transport links according to the developer MVV. This is inaccurate. There is currently no bus that serves the proposed site. The transport links that are referenced in the documents reporting that services operate over the A47 they do not stop to collect passengers therefore would not be a viable option people trying to access your site.

Traffic management:

The traffic survey has been based during the COVID-19 pandemic or on historic data. This is not realistic and decisions should not be based on these figures. Another point I would like to bring to your attention is that local rush hour traffic starts around 5.30 am due to local industry start times. Another example, I used to be able to travel to Kings Lynn in less than 30 minutes at 8 am in 2015 now takes me at least 44 minutes, another reason for historic data not be relied on.

The suggested routes for the lorries coming to site are subject to regular closures, to which drivers who do not know the area will go through town. You will not be able to stop this happening. An example is the Sutton St James bridge. Your solution would be they would follow the diversion which means that most lorries have to do an extra 40 mile round-trip in order to get to the desired location. The developer shows no consideration for the impact this will have on climate change?

Another point I would like to point out is that, we do not have service station that could accommodate the amount of lorries the developer is proposing to bring to the site. What happens when lorry drivers have to rest before their onward journey. They have no where to rest.

Air quality:

The amounts of lorries that will be travelling to and from the site will cause much air pollution. That the developer is unlikely to be able to control. Even if the incinerator is not polluting then the lorries will. I don't understand if the government are pushing for greener solutions, I don't see this being one of them.

Local people:

The developer has chosen this area due to its language barrier, they report that they have consulted with a local people however, I haven't seen any translated documents into my own language or other commonly spoken languages in Wisbech such as Lithuanian, Romanian, Latvian etc. Therefore I don't believe, the implications this development will bring to local people, have been fully understood by most in my local area. For example I have had to explain to friends what an 'incinerator' is.

I'm very concerned that this project has not been given thoughtful consideration in thinking about how local people can have their say. They chose this location because most people would not retaliate because of their language skills, knowledge and life conditions.

You have also not made it available for people who do not have access to the internet to feedback to you about your project.

Please could I also bring to your attention the fact that, the incinerator will be very close to my daughters school, now and in the future.

I hope this information helps you make an informed decision in regards to the planned development in our local area.

Kind regards
Ana Ferreira